

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

DALMATIA IMPORT GROUP, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

FOODMATCH, INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Case No. 16-CV-0933

**PLAINTIFF’S MOTION FOR (1) PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION AGAINST  
LANCASTER FINE FOODS AND EARTH PRIDE ORGANICS FOR BREACH OF  
CONTRACT AND (2) EXPEDITED DISCOVERY**

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65(a), Plaintiff Dalmatia Import Group, Inc. (“Plaintiff”) respectfully moves this Court for a preliminary injunction barring Defendant Lancaster Fine Foods, Inc. (“Lancaster”) and its parent company Earth Pride Organics, LLC, from breaching the Noncompetition provision of the Product Manufacture and Supply Agreement (“Lancaster Agreement”), and ordering Lancaster to recall all products sold in violation of this provision.

Plaintiff and Lancaster have worked together pursuant to the terms of the Lancaster Agreement for approximately eight years, and Lancaster has received numerous benefits pursuant to the agreement. The Lancaster Agreement contains a Noncompetition provision that bars Lancaster from manufacturing, making, or selling any competing fig spread, or any other product that competes with Plaintiff’s product, for the duration of the agreement and for two years thereafter. The Noncompetition provision also prohibits Lancaster from working with or selling any competing products to any of Plaintiff’s customers. In direct violation of the Noncompetition provision, beginning in October 2015 Lancaster worked with Plaintiff’s

customer, Defendant FoodMatch, Inc., to make, manufacture, and sell a competing fig spread under the brand name “Divina,” marketing that fig spread to Plaintiff’s retail customers.

In an effort to stop the irreparable harm Plaintiff has already suffered as a result of Defendants’ activities, Plaintiff seeks to enforce the Noncompetition provision through a preliminary injunction and a recall. Plaintiff also seeks expedited discovery, in the form attached as Exhibits G-L, targeted to identifying the factual basis of Lancaster’s expected defenses to its breach of contract claim in order to create a full record for the Court.

Plaintiff’s Motion is supported by the Memorandum of Law and its exhibits and the Declaration of Maia Magee. A proposed order for expedited discovery and proposed order for preliminary injunction are also submitted.

March 25, 2016

*Of counsel:*

Michael S. Nadel  
McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP  
500 North Capitol Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20001  
(202) 756-8000

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Lauren E. Handel

Lauren E. Handel  
FOSCOLO & HANDEL PLLC  
75 Washington Valley Road #416  
Bedminster, New Jersey 07921  
(908) 206-4103

*Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Dalmatia Import Group, Inc.*

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on March 25, 2016, the foregoing document(s) was filed using the CM/ECF system, which will cause a copy to be electronically served on all counsel of record.

Additionally, the foregoing was served by email on counsel for the parties as follows:

*Counsel for Defendants FoodMatch and Meldrum:*

Richard Feldman, Esq.  
Rosenberg Feldman Smith, LLP  
551 Fifth Avenue, 24th Floor  
New York, New York 10022  
rfeldman@rfs-law.com

*Counsel for Defendants Lancaster, Earth Pride, and Thompson:*

George J. Krueger, Esq.  
Alexandra C. Scanlon, Esq.  
Fox Rothschild LLP  
2000 Market Street, 20th Floor  
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103  
gkrueger@foxrothschild.com  
ascanlon@foxrothschild.com

/s/ Lauren E. Handel  
Lauren E. Handel